UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		Rev. January 2006
JOSE GARCIA, ROSA GARC	·-	
, 1105.1	·,	CIVIL CASE DISCOVERY PLAN
	Plaintiff(s),	AND SCHEDULING ORDER
- against -		
CITY OF NEWBURGH, PO P PO RAYMOND GORDINEER D'ANGELICO, PO DOMINIC PO THOMAS DOUGLAS and POLICE OFFICERS 1-10,	R, DET. LORENZO K FORMISANO,	08 Civ. 1198 (CLB) (MDF)
	Defendant(s).	
	X	
This Court requires the	hat this case shall be <u>rea</u>	ndy for trial on or after November 7, 2008.
	-	cheduling Order is adopted, after consultation 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
The case (is) (i s not) to be tried	I to a jury.	
•		5k3 jos
Amended pleadings may be file	ed until <u>19/23/09</u>	·
Discovery:		
1. Interrogatories are to be ser responses to such interrogatoric Local Civil Rule 33.3 (shall) (s	es shall be served within t	er than $\frac{1}{25}$, and thirty (30) days thereafter. The provisions of e.
2. First request for production	of documents, if any, to	be served no later than <u>4/35/03</u> .
3. Depositions to be complete	d by <u>17/18/03</u>	·
		ourt so orders, depositions are not to be held est requests for production of documents.
	Il proceed concurrently.	1
c. Whenever possi	ble, unless counsel agree	otherwise or the Court so orders, non-party
-	I follow party deposition:	s. I suit as a matter of law has been or will
	-	pect to any claim(s) in the case, counsel
for any such def	fendant(s) shall, within th	sirty (30) days of this order depose elevant to the issue of qualified immunity.

Within thirty (30) days thereafter defendant(s) shall serve consistent with Local Rule 6.1 and file a motion under Rule 12(c) or Rule 56, returnable on a date posted in the New York Law Journal by Judge Brieant for hearing motions. The motion shall, in the absence of agreement of counsel, be limited to the issue of qualified immunity, and plaintiff(s) version of the events shall be assumed true for purposes of the motion. Failure to comply with this provision of this Order shall operate as a waiver of the opportunity to resolve the issue of qualified immunity by motion prior to trial.

4.	Any further interrogatories, including expert interrogatories, to be served no later than
5.	Requests to Admit, if any to be served no later than $\frac{9/29/03}{2}$.
3.	Requests to Admit, if any to be served no fater than \(\frac{\eta_{\text{X}} \eta_{\text{I}}}{\text{I}}\).
6.	Additional provisions relating to discovery agreed upon by counsel for the parties (are) (are not) attached and made a part hereof.
7.	All discovery is to be complete by ideals.
	Dispositive motions, if any, must be served on notice as required by Local Civil Rule 6.1, and a returnable before the Court on a published motion day, no later than three weeks before the for trial date.
	Next Case Management Conference Tokember 7. 2008 9:00 (This date will be set by the Court at the first conference)

Joint Pretrial Order is required only if counsel for all parties agree that it is desirable, or the Court so orders.

This case has been designated to the Hon. Mark D. Fox, United States Magistrate Judge at White Plains for discovery disputes if the Court is "unavailable" and for trial under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) if counsel execute their consent in writing.

Strict compliance with the trial readiness date will be required. This Plan and Order may not be changed without leave of the Court or the assigned Magistrate Judge acting under a specific reference order.

Upon signing a Consent for Trial Before a United States Magistrate Judge, the Magistrate Judge will establish an agreed date certain for trial and will amend this Plan and Order to provide for trial readiness consistent with that agreed date.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: White Plains, New York

Cipril 11, 7008

Charles L. Bricant, U.S.D.J.